

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 * * *

6 Securities and Exchange Commission,

Case No. 2:23-cv-00288-APG-DJA

7 Plaintiff,

Order

8 v.

9 Markman Biologics Corp. and Alan
Shinderman,

10 Defendants,

11 and

12 Aspen Asset Management Services, LLC

13 Relief Defendant.
14

15 The law firms of Lucosky Brookman LLP and Marquis Aurbach move to withdraw as
16 counsel of record for Defendant Markman Biologics Corp. but will remain as counsel for
17 Defendant Alan Shinderman and Aspen Management Services, LLC. (ECF No. 26). The Court
18 finds that counsel has met the requirements of Local Rule IA 11-6(b). Additionally, no party has
19 opposed the motion, constituting their consent to the Court granting it under Local Rule 7-2(d).
20 Because it is a corporation, Markman Biologics Corp. must retain counsel to proceed in this
21 action. *See Reading Intern., Inc. v. Malulani Group, Ltd.*, 814 F.3d 1046, 1053 (9th Cir. 2016)
22 (explaining that corporations must be represented by counsel).

23
24 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the motion to withdraw (ECF No. 26) is **granted**.


25 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Markman Biologics Corp. must file a status report
26 regarding its retention of counsel on or before **October 30, 2023**.

27
28 ///

Markman Biologics Corp.
Attn: Spencer Flores
13155 Noel Road
Suite 900
Dallas, TX 75240
Email: spencerflor21@gmail.com

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is kindly directed to mail this order to Markman Biologics Corp. at the address listed above.

DATED: September 29, 2023


DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE